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Annuity and Pension Board

Members of the Board:

This report presents the results of the annual actuarial valuation of the assets and liabilities of the Policemen's Annuity and Benefit Fund of Milwaukee (PABF) as of January 1, 2016, prepared in accordance with Chapter 36, Part 15(15) of the Milwaukee City Charter. The valuation takes into account all of the promised benefits to which members were entitled as of January 1, 2016.

The valuation was based on the actuarial assumptions and methods as adopted by the Board of Trustees, and as specified by the Charter. Actuarial Standards of Practice now require that the likelihood and extent of future mortality improvements be considered for valuations performed on or after June 30, 2011. We have reflected future mortality improvements in this valuation.

## Assets and Membership Data

The individual data for members of the PABF as of the valuation date were reported to the actuary by the Employes' Retirement System (ERS). While we did not verify the data at their source, we did perform tests for internal consistency and reasonability. The amount of assets in the trust fund taken into account in the valuation was based on statements prepared for us by the ERS.

## Financing Objective and Employer Contribution

The results of the January 1, 2016 valuation determine the employer contribution for the year ending December 31, 2016.

Based on the provisions of Chapter 35, the annual contribution consists of an amount sufficient to amortize the unfunded actuarial liability (the amount by which the actuarial liability exceeds the assets on the valuation date) over a ten-year period with a series of level dollar payments; plus budgeted administrative expenses for the year.

On this basis, the contribution for the 2016 plan year, to be paid January 31, 2017, would amount to $\$ 132,723$, plus budgeted administrative expenses for the year.

## Financial Results and Membership Data

Detailed summaries of the financial results of the valuation, including a 20-year projection of assets, liabilities, benefit payments and contribution requirements (excluding future administrative expense requirements), and of the membership data used in preparing the valuation are shown in the valuation report.

The City contributed $\$ 353,368$ under the PAYGO basis during year ended December 31, 2015

It is not uncommon for a fund that is closed to new entrants where a large percentage of the assets are paid out in benefits to become insolvent before all benefit payments are made. That is the case for the PABF. For PABF, the insolvency was exacerbated by the downturn in asset values during calendar year 2008. Consideration could be given to reviewing the current funding policy to ensure that it is still in line with the Board's funding and solvency objectives. Given the small magnitude of the benefit payments to be made after the projected insolvency date in 2016, it would not be unreasonable to consider allowing the plan sponsor to fund the plan as benefit payments come due. An illustration of fund on a PAYGO approach is shown in Table 8.

To the best of our knowledge, this report is complete and accurate and has been prepared in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles and practice.

Respectfully submitted,


Larry Langer, ASA, EA, MAAA
Principal, Consulting Actuary


Patryk Tabernacki
Senior Associate, Retirement


Kevin (Chin Hung) Peng, ASA, EA, MAAA
Consultant, Retirement

## Introduction

The law governing the Policemen's Annuity and Benefit Fund (PABF) requires the Actuary, as the technical advisor to the Annuity and Pension Board, to make an annual valuation of the funds and liabilities of the Fund, and to determine and certify the annual contribution to be derived from the tax levy. \{Chapter 35, Part 1(12)\}. Buck Consultants, as Actuary, has completed the annual actuarial valuation of the System as of January 1, 2016.

In this report we present the results of the January 1, 2016 valuation and the contribution to be derived from the tax levy for the year ending December 31, 2016. For purposes of disclosure, the report also includes the schedule of funding progress as required by GASB Statement No. 25. - only to be used for comparison of relevant Statement No. 67 information. The benefit provisions recognized in this valuation are those in place as of the valuation date.

The valuation was completed based upon membership and financial data provided by the administrative staff of the System. The mortality and investment return assumptions used to prepare the valuation were adopted as of January 1, 2013, and are based on the experience study prepared by the Actuary and approved by the Board for the City of Milwaukee Employes' Retirement System for the five-year period ended December 31, 2011. The actuarial asset valuation method was adopted as of January 1, 2005.

## Changes Since Last Year

There were no changes in actuarial assumptions and methods or plan provisions since the prior valuation.

## Summary of Principal Results

Summarized below are the principal financial results for the Policeman's Annuity and Benefit Fund of Milwaukee based upon the actuarial valuation as of January 1, 2016. Comparable results from the January 1, 2015 valuation are also shown.

| Item |  | January 1, 2016 | January 1, 2015 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of Participants |  |  |  |  |
| > Active Members |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| > Annuitants |  | 6 |  | 11 |
| > Widow Annuitants |  | 30 |  | 32 |
| >. Total Number of Participants |  | 36 |  | 43 |
| Benefits Paid in the Prior Year | \$ | 421,971 | \$ | 492,713 |
| Asset Values (includes contributions receivable) |  |  |  |  |
| >. Actuarial Value | \$ | 184,559 | \$ | 146,998 |
| > Market Value | \$ | 184,559 | \$ | 146,998 |
| Actuarially Determined Employer Contribution |  | Due 1/31/2017 |  | 1/31/2016 |
| > Annual Cost* | \$ | 132,723 | \$ | 188,214 |
| > As \% of Prior Year Benefits Paid |  | 31.45\% |  | 38.20\% |
| *Plus budgeted administrative expenses |  |  |  |  |
| Funded Status |  |  |  |  |
| > Accrued Liability | \$ | 1,059,389 | \$ | 1,387,588 |
| > Actuarial (and Market) Value of Assets |  | 184,559 |  | 146,998 |
| > Unfunded (Overfunded) Accrued Liability | \$ | 874,830 | \$ | 1,240,590 |
| > Funded Ratio Based on Actuarial Value of Assets |  | 17.4\% |  | 10.6\% |

## Reasons for Change in the Funded Ratio

The funded ratio increased from $10.6 \%$ as of January 1,2015 to $17.4 \%$ as of January 1, 2016. The funded ratio was expected to decrease from $10.6 \%$ to $3.6 \%$ as of January 1,2016 based on the projection from the January 1 , 2015 actuarial valuation. Participant mortality experience in the form of slightly fewer benefits being payable as of January 1, 2016 increased the funded ratio from $3.6 \%$ to $3.7 \% \%$. The city contribution and tax levy received are more than benefits paid during 2015. This then increased the funded ratio from $3.7 \%$ to $17.4 \%$.

## Schedule of Funding Progress

The "Schedule of Funding Progress" shows historical trend information about the Fund's actuarial value of assets, the actuarial accrued liability and the unfunded actuarial accrued liability. The actuarial funded status is measured by comparing the actuarial value of assets (based on market value) with the accrued liability. The accrued liability is the present value of benefits accumulated to date under the PABF's funding method. On this basis, the PABF's funded ratio is $17.4 \%$ as of January 1,2016 . The funded ratio is based on an actuarial value of assets of $\$ 184,559$ including a $\$ 188,214$ receivable contribution for the 2015 plan year, and an accrued liability of \$1,059,389.

| Valuation as of January 1 | Actuarial <br> Value of <br> Assets <br> (a) | Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) | Unfunded AAL <br> (UAAL) <br> (a-AAL) | Funded Ratio (a/AAL) | Annuity Payroll (b) | UAAL as a Percentage of Annuity Payroll (UAAL / b) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016 | \$184,559 | \$1,059,389 | \$874,830 | 17.4\% | \$421,971 | 207.3\% |
| 2015 | \$146,998 | \$1,387,588 | \$1,240,590 | 10.6\% | \$492,713 | 251.8\% |
| 2014 | \$412,093 | \$1,777,824 | \$1,365,731 | 23.2\% | \$589,196 | 231.8\% |
| 2013 | \$650,910 | \$2,152,402 | \$1,501,492 | 30.2\% | \$715,206 | 209.9\% |
| 2012 | \$1,007,534 | \$2,451,276 | \$1,443,742 | 41.1\% | \$765,365 | 188.6\% |

TABLE 1 - Summary of Market Value of Plan Assets As of January 1, 2016 (in dollars)

| Item | Amount |
| :--- | :---: |
| 1. Market Value of Assets as of January 1, 2015 | A |
| 2. Contributions During Year |  |
| a. Member |  |
| b. Employer |  |
| c. Tax Levy (receivable 1/31/2016) |  |
| d. Total |  |

## GASB No. 67/68 Disclosure

The disclosure under GASB 67/68 is to be determined as of the end of the Governmental Employers' fiscal year. It is permissible for the actuary to project the total pension liability to the end of year, based on beginning of the year results; however, the actuary should take into account any significant events that occurred during the year, such as plan changes. The plan fiduciary net position under GASB 67/68 disclosure should be the actual market value of assets as of the end of the year. The Actuarial cost method for GASB $67 / 68$ disclosure is Entry Age Normal Cost Method. The discount rate changed from $3.34 \%$ to $3.20 \%$ to reflect the municipal bond rate change.

Tables 2 through 6 show the required accounting and financial reporting and disclosure items for fiscal year ending $12 / 31 / 2015$ prepared based on data as of $1 / 1 / 2015$.

## TABLE 2 - Actuarial Methods and Assumptions for GASB 67/68 Disclosure Purposes

The total pension liability as of December 31, 2015 was determined by rolling forward the total pension liability as of January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2015 using the following actuarial methods and assumptions, applied to all periods included in the measurement.
Valuation Date
Actuarial Cost Method
Amortization Method

Asset Valuation Method
Actuarial Assumptions: Investment Rate of Return

Projected Salary Increases
Inflation Assumption
Mortality Table

Experience Study

January 1, 2015
Entry Age Normal - Level dollar amount
For pension expense; the difference between expected and actual liability experience and changes of assumptions are recognized immediately. The differences between projected and actual earnings are amortized over a closed period of five years.

## Market Value

8.25\% for calendar years through 2017, and 8.50\%
beginning with calendar year 2018
None - The Plan is Closed 3.00\%

For regular retirees and for survivors, the RP-2000 Combined Mortality Table with nine years of projected improvements for males and females, include full generational projection using mortality improvement Scale AA.
The actuarial assumptions used in the December 31, 2015 valuation were based on the results of an actuarial experience study for the period January 1, 2007-December 31, 2011.

## TABLE 3 - Schedule of the Net Pension Liability

## (in thousands)

Total pension liability
Plan fiduciary net position
$\quad$ Net pension liability (asset)
Plan fiduciary net position as a
percentage of total pension liability

Covered employee payroll

15.00\%
\$

Net pension liability (asset) as a percentage of covered employee payroll

Discount rate:

Sensitivity of the net pension liability to changes in the discount rate.

PABF's net pension liability

The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 3.20 percent. Since the PABF is closed to new members and PABF's fiduciary net position was projected to be insufficient to make all projected future benefit payments of current annuitants. Therefore, the 20 year Municipal Bond Rate was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability. The 3.20 percent rate equals the S\&P Municipal Bond 20-Year High Grade Rate Index (yield to maturity) at Dec.
31, 2015

The following presents the net pension liability of the PABF calculated using the discount rate of 3.20 percent, as well as what the PABF's net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage-point lower (2.20 percent) or 1-percentage-point higher (4.20 percent) than the current rate:


## TABLE 4 - Schedule of Changes in the Net Pension Liability

 (\$ in thousands)Total pension liability
Service cost
\$ 0
Interest
Changes in benefit items
Differences between expected and actual experience
Changes of assumptions
Benefit payments including refunds of member contributions
Net change in total pension liability
Netchange in total pension liability
Total pension liability - beginning
Total pension liability - ending

Plan fiduciary net position
Contributions - employer
Contributions - member
Net investment income
Benefit payments, including refunds
of member contributions
Administrative expense
Other
Net change in plan fiduciary net pension
Plan fiduciary net position - beginning
Plan fiduciary net position - ending

Net pension liability (asset) - ending
$\$ \quad 541$
\$ 541
$\$ \quad \begin{array}{r}1,631 \\ \hline 1,233 \\ \hline\end{array}$
39

\$
185
\$
1,048

Table 5 - Schedule of Employes' Retirement Systems' Contributions

## (\$ in thousands)

Last 10 Fiscal Years
(Dollar Amounts in thousands)

## Actuarially Determined Contributions

Contributions in relation to the actuarially determined contribution

Contribution deficiency (excess)
Covered Employee Payroll
Contributions as a percentage of covered-employee payroll

GASB 67 is applicable for fiscal years ending 2014 and later.
The information presented above was determined as part of the actuarial valuation as of one year prior to the dates indicated
(i.e., the contribution determined by the valuation completed as of January 1, 2015 was contributed for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2015).

## Table 6 -GASB68 Information

## Collective Pension Expense

(\$ in thousands)

| Item | Measurement Year <br> Ending Dec. 31, 2015 | Measurement Year <br> Ending Dec. 31, 2014 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pension Expense |  |  |
| Service cost | \$ | \$ |
| Interest cost on total pension liability | 45.0 | 61.0 |
| Projected earnings on plan investments | (14.0) | (22.0) |
| Contributions - Member | - | - |
| Administrative expense | 83.0 | 34.0 |
| Current period | - | - |
| Plan changes | - | - |
| Changes in assumptions | 7.0 | - |
| Differences between expected and actual liab. experience | (30.0) | - |
| Difference between projected and actual earnings | 2.6 | 0.4 |
| Recognition of prior years' | - | - |
| Deferred outflows | 0.4 | - |
| Deferred inflows | - | - |
| Other changes in fiduciary net position | - | - |
| Pension expense | 94.0 | 73.4 |

## Table 6a-GASB68 Information (Continue)

Details of the recognized and deferred inflows and outflows of resources Amortization of Difference between Projected and Actual Earnings
(\$ in thousands)

| Measurement Year | 2014 | 2015 | Outflows | Inflows | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount Established | 2 | 13 |  |  |  |
| Recognition Period | 5.00 | 5.00 |  |  |  |
| Annual Recognition | 0.4 | 2.6 |  |  |  |
| Amount Recognized |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 | 0.4 | - | 0.4 | - | 0.4 |
| 2015 | 0.4 | 2.6 | 3.0 | - | 3.0 |
| 2016 | 0.4 | 2.6 | 3.0 | - | 3.0 |
| 2017 | 0.4 | 2.6 | 3.0 | - | 3.0 |
| 2018 | 0.4 | 2.6 | 3.0 | - | 3.0 |
| 2019 | - | 2.6 | 2.6 | - | 2.6 |
| 2020 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Deferred Balance |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2014 | 1.6 | - | 1.6 | - | 1.6 |
| 2015 | 1.2 | 10.4 | 11.6 | - | 11.6 |
| 2016 | 0.8 | 7.8 | 8.6 | - | 8.6 |
| 2017 | 0.4 | 5.2 | 5.6 | - | 5.6 |
| 2018 | - | 2.6 | 2.6 | - | 2.6 |
| 2019 | - | - | - | - | - |

## Table 6b-GASB68 Information (Continue)

Schedule of Pension Amounts by Employer

## (\$ in thousands)

|  |  |  | Deferred Outflows of Resources |  |  |  |  | Deferred Inflows of Resources |  |  |  |  | Pension Expense |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Entity |  | Net Pension Liability | Difference <br> Between <br> Expected and Actual Experience | Net Difference Between Projected and Actual Investment Earnings on Pension Plan Investments | Changes of Assumptions | Changes in <br> Proportion and Differences <br> Between <br> Employer <br> Contributions and Proportionate <br> Share of <br> Contributions | Total <br> Deferred <br> Outflows <br> of <br> Resources | Difference <br> Between Expected and Actual Experience | Net Difference Between Projected and Actual Investment Earnings on Pension Plan Investments | Changes of Assumptions | Changes in <br> Proportion and Differences <br> Between <br> Employer <br> Contributions and Proportionate Share of Contributions | Total <br> Deferred <br> Outflows <br> of <br> Resources | Proportionate Share of Plan Pension Expense | Net Amortization Deferred Amount from Changes in <br> Proportion and Differences <br> Between <br> Employer <br> Contributions and Proportional Share of Contributions |
| Police |  | 1,048 | - | 11.6 | - | - | 11.6 | - | - | - | - | - | 94.0 | - |
| Total for all entities | \$ | 1,048 | - | 11.6 | - | - | 11.6 | - | - | - | - | - | 94.0 | - |

TABLE 7 - Projection Of Actuarial Liability And Assets
From January 1, 2016 To December 31, 2035
Based On Rolling 10-Year Level Dollar Amortization Of Unfunded Actuarial Liability
And 8.25\% - 8.50\%* Per Annum Investment Returns

| Calendar Year | (A) <br> Beg.-of-Year (BOY) Assets |  | (B) <br> BOY <br> Actuarial Liability |  | (C) <br> Unfunded Actuarial Liability (B) - (A) |  | (D) <br> Expected Benefit Payments |  | (E) <br> Investment Earnings at 8.25\% |  | (F) <br> Contribution Receivable Jan 31 Next Year |  | (G) <br> End-of-Year Assets $(A)-(D)+(E)+(F)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016 | \$ | 184,559 | \$ | 1,059,389 | \$ | 874,830 | \$ | 316,136 | \$ | 1,102 | \$ | 132,723 | \$ | 2,248 |
| 2017 |  | 2,248 |  | 817,870 |  | 815,622 |  | 251,476 |  | $(10,928)$ |  | 123,741 |  | $(136,415)$ |
| 2018 |  | $(136,415)$ |  | 623,700 |  | 760,115 |  | 196,650 |  | $(20,087)$ |  | 115,319 |  | $(237,833)$ |
| 2019 |  | $(237,833)$ |  | 470,554 |  | 708,387 |  | 151,621 |  | $(26,574)$ |  | 107,472 |  | $(308,556)$ |
| 2020 |  | $(308,556)$ |  | 351,623 |  | 660,179 |  | 115,457 |  | $(30,890)$ |  | 100,158 |  | $(354,745)$ |
| 2021 |  | $(354,745)$ |  | 260,507 |  | 615,252 |  | 87,025 |  | $(33,499)$ |  | 93,342 |  | $(381,927)$ |
| 2022 |  | $(381,927)$ |  | 191,455 |  | 573,382 |  | 65,068 |  | $(34,805)$ |  | 86,990 |  | $(394,810)$ |
| 2023 |  | $(394,810)$ |  | 139,551 |  | 534,361 |  | 48,299 |  | $(35,145)$ |  | 81,070 |  | $(397,184)$ |
| 2024 |  | $(397,184)$ |  | 100,812 |  | 497,996 |  | 35,607 |  | $(34,785)$ |  | 75,553 |  | $(392,023)$ |
| 2025 |  | $(392,023)$ |  | 72,082 |  | 464,105 |  | 26,089 |  | $(33,935)$ |  | 70,411 |  | $(381,636)$ |
| 2026 |  | $(381,636)$ |  | 50,885 |  | 432,521 |  | 18,694 |  | $(32,743)$ |  | 65,619 |  | $(367,454)$ |
| 2027 |  | $(367,454)$ |  | 35,633 |  | 403,087 |  | 13,251 |  | $(31,318)$ |  | 61,154 |  | $(350,869)$ |
| 2028 |  | $(350,869)$ |  | 24,786 |  | 375,655 |  | 9,441 |  | $(29,764)$ |  | 56,992 |  | $(333,082)$ |
| 2029 |  | $(333,082)$ |  | 17,008 |  | 350,090 |  | 6,621 |  | $(28,153)$ |  | 53,113 |  | $(314,743)$ |
| 2030 |  | $(314,743)$ |  | 11,522 |  | 326,265 |  | 4,646 |  | $(26,533)$ |  | 49,499 |  | $(296,423)$ |
| 2031 |  | $(296,423)$ |  | 7,639 |  | 304,062 |  | 3,210 |  | $(24,937)$ |  | 46,130 |  | $(278,440)$ |
| 2032 |  | $(278,440)$ |  | 4,929 |  | 283,369 |  | 2,154 |  | $(23,387)$ |  | 42,991 |  | $(260,990)$ |
| 2033 |  | $(260,990)$ |  | 3,095 |  | 264,085 |  | 1,340 |  | $(21,892)$ |  | 40,065 |  | $(244,157)$ |
| 2034 |  | $(244,157)$ |  | 1,956 |  | 246,113 |  | 829 |  | $(20,462)$ |  | 37,339 |  | $(228,109)$ |
| 2035 |  | $(228,109)$ |  | 1,255 |  | 229,364 |  | 562 |  | $(19,108)$ |  | 34,798 |  | $(212,981)$ |

[^0]TABLE 8 - Projection Of Actuarial Liability And Assets
From January 1, 2016 To December 31, 2035
Based On PAY-AS-YOU-GO BASIS
And 8.25\% - 8.50\%* Per Annum Investment Returns

| Calendar Year | (A) Beg.of-Year (BOY) Assets | (B) <br> BOY <br> Actuarial Liability | (C) <br> Unfunded Actuarial Liability $\text { (B) }-(\mathrm{A})$ |  | (D) <br> ed Benefit ments | (E) <br> Investment Earnings at 8.25\% |  | (F) <br> Contribution Receivable | (G) <br> End-of-Year Assets $(A)-(D)+(E)+(F)$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2016 | \$ 184,559 | \$ 1,059,389 | \$ 874,830 | \$ | 316,136 | \$ | 1,102 | 163,191 | \$ | 32,716 |
| 2017 | 32,716 | 817,870 | 785,154 |  | 251,476 |  | - | 243,908 |  | 25,148 |
| 2018 | 25,148 | 623,700 | 598,552 |  | 196,650 |  | - | 191,167 |  | 19,665 |
| 2019 | 19,665 | 470,554 | 450,889 |  | 151,621 |  | - | 147,118 |  | 15,162 |
| 2020 | 15,162 | 351,623 | 336,461 |  | 115,457 |  | - | 111,841 |  | 11,546 |
| 2021 | 11,546 | 260,507 | 248,961 |  | 87,025 |  | - | 84,182 |  | 8,703 |
| 2022 | 8,703 | 191,455 | 182,753 |  | 65,068 |  | - | 62,872 |  | 6,507 |
| 2023 | 6,507 | 139,551 | 133,044 |  | 48,299 |  | - | 46,622 |  | 4,830 |
| 2024 | 4,830 | 100,812 | 95,982 |  | 35,607 |  | - | 34,338 |  | 3,561 |
| 2025 | 3,561 | 72,082 | 68,521 |  | 26,089 |  | - | 25,137 |  | 2,609 |
| 2026 | 2,609 | 50,885 | 48,276 |  | 18,694 |  | - | 17,955 |  | 1,869 |
| 2027 | 1,869 | 35,633 | 33,764 |  | 13,251 |  | - | 12,707 |  | 1,325 |
| 2028 | 1,325 | 24,786 | 23,461 |  | 9,441 |  | - | 9,060 |  | 944 |
| 2029 | 944 | 17,008 | 16,064 |  | 6,621 |  | - | 6,339 |  | 662 |
| 2030 | 662 | 11,522 | 10,860 |  | 4,646 |  | - | 4,449 |  | 465 |
| 2031 | 465 | 7,639 | 7,174 |  | 3,210 |  | - | 3,066 |  | 321 |
| 2032 | 321 | 4,929 | 4,608 |  | 2,154 |  | - | 2,048 |  | 215 |
| 2033 | 215 | 3,095 | 2,880 |  | 1,340 |  | - | 1,259 |  | 134 |
| 2034 | 134 | 1,956 | 1,822 |  | 829 |  | - | 778 |  | 83 |
| 2035 | 83 | 1,255 | 1,172 |  | 562 |  | - | 535 |  | 56 |

* The interest rate is $8.25 \%$ for calender years 2013 through 2017 and $8.50 \%$ beginning with calender year 2018.


## DESCRIPTION OF ACTUARIAL METHODS AND ASSUMPTIONS FOR PENSION FUNDING PURPOSES

## Actuarial Cost Method

The method of financing the System is prescribed in Chapter 35, Part 1(12) of the Milwaukee City Charter.

## Method: Projected Unit Credit

Since the Fund is closed to new participants and all participants are retired, the Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) is equal to the Actuarial Present Value of benefits expected to be paid to and on behalf of current Annuitants and Widow Annuitants. The Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL) is the difference between the AAL and the Actuarial Value of Assets. Based on the provisions of Chapter 35, the annual contribution consists of an amount sufficient to amortize the UAAL over a ten-year period with a series of level dollar payments, plus budgeted administrative expenses for the year. This funding method was adopted effective January 1, 2006.

## Actuarial Value of Assets

The market value of assets is the value of investments if they were to be sold currently, plus the contribution receivable for the plan year just ended. The actuarial value of assets is equal to the market value of assets. This definition of the actuarial value of assets was adopted in 2005.

## Amortization Method

Open; Level dollar

## Remaining Amortization Period

10 years

## Interest Rate and Inflation

Interest: $\quad 8.50 \%$ return for calendar years 2000 through 2012, 8.25\% for calendar years 2013 through 2017, and $8.50 \%$ beginning with calendar year 2018
(adopted 1/1/2013)
Inflation: $3.0 \%$ per annum

## Post-Retirement Mortality

Male and Female: RP-2000 Combined Mortality Table with nine years of projected improvements, include full generational projection using mortality improvement Scale AA.

TABLE 9 - The Number and Annual Benefits Payable to Annuitants and widows As of January 1, 2016

| Age | Annuitants |  |  | Widows |  |  | Totals |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number |  | Annuities | Number | Annuities |  | Number | Annuities |  |
| 83 |  | \$ |  | 1 | \$ | 6,000 | 1 | \$ | 6,000 |
| 84 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 85 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 86 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 87 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 12,000 | 2 |  | 12,000 |
| 88 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 89 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 12,000 | 2 |  | 12,000 |
| 90 |  |  |  | 2 |  | 18,932 | 2 |  | 18,932 |
| 91 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 92 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 9,569 | 1 |  | 9,569 |
| 93 |  |  |  | 7 |  | 42,898 | 7 |  | 42,898 |
| 94 | 2 |  | 74,861 | 4 |  | 26,619 | 6 |  | 101,480 |
| 95 | 1 |  | 13,035 | 1 |  | 6,000 | 2 |  | 19,035 |
| 96 |  |  |  | 3 |  | 18,000 | 3 |  | 18,000 |
| 97 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 6,073 | 1 |  | 6,073 |
| 98 | 2 |  | 48,945 | 2 |  | 12,000 | 4 |  | 60,945 |
| 99 |  |  |  | 1 |  | 6,082 | 1 |  | 6,082 |
| 100 | 1 |  | 18,907 | 3 |  | 18,918 | 4 |  | 37,825 |
| Total | 6 | \$ | 155,748 | 30 | \$ | 195,091 | 36 | \$ | 350,839 |


[^0]:    * The interest rate is $8.25 \%$ for calender years 2013 through 2017 and $8.50 \%$ beginning with calender year 2018.

