EMPLOYES' RETIREMENT SYSTEM OF THE CITY OF MILWAUKEE

Schedules of Employer Allocations and Pension Amounts by Employer As of and for the year ended December 31, 2016

Employes' Retirement System of the City of Milwaukee Table of Contents

| Independent Auditors' Report | 1 |
|--|---|
| Schedule of Employer Allocations | 3 |
| Schedule of Pension Amounts by Employer | 4 |
| Notes to the Schedules of Employer Allocations and Pension Amounts by Employer | 5 |



INDEPENDENT AUDITORS' REPORT

To the Annuity and Pension Board of the Employes' Retirement System of the City of Milwaukee Milwaukee, Wisconsin

Report on Employer Schedules

We have audited the accompanying schedule of employer allocations of the Employes' Retirement System of the City of Milwaukee as of and for the year ended December 31, 2016, and the related notes. We have also audited the total for all entities of the columns titled beginning net pension liability, ending net pension liability, total deferred outflows of resources, total deferred inflows of resources, and total pension expense excluding that attributable to employer-paid member contributions (specified column totals) included in the accompanying schedule of pension amounts by employer of the Employes' Retirement System of the City of Milwaukee as of and for the year ended December 31, 2016, and the related notes.

Management's Responsibility for the Schedules

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these schedules in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of the schedules that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditors' Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the schedule of employer allocations and an opinion on the specified column totals included in the schedule of pension amounts by employer based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, which is issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the schedule of employer allocations and specified column totals included in the schedule of pension amounts by employer are free from material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the schedule of employer allocations and specified column totals included in the schedule of pension amounts by employer. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of the schedule of employer allocations and specified column totals included in the schedule of pension amounts by employer, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the entity's preparation and fair presentation of the schedule of employer allocations and specified column totals included in the schedule of employer in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the entity's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting policies used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall presentation of the schedule of employer allocations and specified column totals by employer.



We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinions.

Opinions

In our opinion, the schedules referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the employer allocations and beginning net pension liability, ending net pension liability, total deferred outflows of resources, total deferred inflows of resources, and total pension expense excluding that attributable to employer-paid member contributions for the total of all participating entities for the Employes' Retirement System of the City of Milwaukee as of and for the year ended December 31, 2016, in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Matter

We have audited, in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of United States, the financial statements of the Employes' Retirement System of the City of Milwaukee as of and for the year ended December 31, 2016, and our report thereon, dated June 19, 2017, expressed an unmodified opinion on those financial statements.

Restriction on Use

Our report is intended solely for the information and use of the Employes' Retirement System of the City of Milwaukee management, the Annuity and Pension Board, the Employes' Retirement System of the City of Milwaukee employers and their auditors and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with Government Auditing Standards, we have also issued our report dated June 19, 2017 on our consideration of the Retirement System's internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with Government Auditing Standards in considering Employes' Retirement System of the City of Milwaukee's internal control over financial reporting and compliance.

Baker Tilly Virchaw Knause, UP

Milwaukee, Wisconsin August 28, 2017

Employes' Retirement System of the City of Milwaukee Schedule of Employer Allocations As of and for the year ended December 31, 2016

| Employer | - | urrent Year Actuarial Employer ontributions | Employer Allocation Percentage | Prior Year Actuarial Employer Contributions | | Employer Allocation Percentage |
|--|----|--|--------------------------------------|--|------------|--------------------------------------|
| City of Milwaukee - General City | \$ | 16,243,778 | 20.2683831% | \$ | 16,015,226 | 20.0595073% |
| City of Milwaukee - Water Department | | 1,497,335 | 1.8683190% | | 1,452,640 | 1.8194712% |
| Milwaukee Public Schools | | 10,538,564 | 13.1496289% | | 10,201,168 | 12.7772411% |
| Milwaukee Area Technical College | | - | 0.0000000% | | 5,271 | 0.0066021% |
| Milwaukee Metropolitian Sewerage District | | 1,546,382 | 1.9295180% | | 1,532,962 | 1.9200767% |
| Veolia Water Milwakee LLC | | 314,774 | 0.3927633% | | 380,826 | 0.4769950% |
| Wisconsin Center Disctrict | | 367,387 | 0.4584119% | | 355,500 | 0.4452734% |
| Housing Authority of the City of Milwaukee | | 900,100 | 1.1231114% | | 931,049 | 1.1661643% |
| Redevelopment Authority of the City of Milwaukee | | 74,809 | 0.0933439% | | 98,846 | 0.1238073% |
| City of Milwaukee - Policeman | | 33,410,152 | 41.6879472% | | 33,554,544 | 42.0279814% |
| City of Milwaukee - Fireman | | 15,250,152 | 19.0285734% | | 15,310,549 | 19.1768802% |
| Total | \$ | 80,143,433 | 100.000000% | \$ | 79,838,581 | 100.000000% |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the Schedules of Employer Allocations and Pension Amounts by Employer.

Employes' Retirement System of the City of Milwaukee Schedule of Pension Amounts by Employer As of and for the year ended December 31, 2016 (Dollar Amounts in Thousands)

| Deferred Outflows of Resources | | | | Deferred Inflows of Resources | | | | | Pension Expense | | | | | | | | |
|--|----|------------------------|-----------------------|---|---|-------------|--|-------------------------------------|---|---|-------------|--|------------------------------------|---|---|---|--|
| | Ne | eginning et Pension | Ending Net Pension | Difference Between Expected and Actual | Net Difference Between Projected and Actual Investment Earnings on Pension Plan | Changes of | Changes in Proportion and Differences Between Employer Contributions and Proportionate Share of | Total Deferred Outflows of | Difference Between Expected and Actual | Net Difference Between Projected and Actual Investment Earnings on Pension Plan | Changes of | Changes in Proportion and Differences Between Employer Contributions and Proportionate Share of | Total Deferred Inflows of | Proportionate Share of Allocable Plan Pension | Pension Expense Related to Specific Liabilities of Individual | Net Amortization of Deferred Amount from Changes in Proportion and Differences Between Employer Contributions and Proportional Share of | Total Employer Pension Expense Excluding That Attributable to Employer-Paid Member |
| Employer | 1 | Liability | Liability | Experience | Investments | Assumptions | Contributions | Resources | Experience | Investments | Assumptions | Contributions | Resources | Expense | Employers | Contributions | Contributions |
| City of Milwaukee - General City | \$ | 84,361 | \$ 86,362 | \$ - | \$ 57,205 | \$ - | \$ 96 | \$ 57,301 | \$ 4,716 | \$ 320 | \$ 552 | \$ 2 | \$ 5,590 | \$ 37,512 | \$- | \$ 29 | \$ 37,541 |
| City of Milwaukee - Water Department | | 7,652 | 7,961 | - | 5,273 | - | 28 | 5,301 | 435 | 29 | 51 | - | 515 | 3,458 | - | 10 | 3,468 |
| Milwaukee Public Schools | | 53,735 | 56,030 | - | 37,113 | - | 172 | 37,285 | 3,060 | 207 | 358 | 31 | 3,656 | 24,337 | - | 39 | 24,376 |
| Milwaukee Area Technical College | | 28 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 3 | - | - | (1) | (1) |
| Milwaukee Metropolitan Sewerage District | | 8,075 | 8,222 | - | 5,446 | - | 5 | 5,451 | 449 | 30 | 53 | - | 532 | 3,571 | - | 2 | 3,573 |
| Veolia Water Milwaukee LLC | | 2,006 | 1,674 | - | 1,109 | - | 7 | 1,116 | 91 | 6 | 11 | 39 | 147 | 727 | - | (9) | 718 |
| Wisconsin Center District | | 1,873 | 1,953 | - | 1,294 | - | 8 | 1,302 | 107 | 7 | 12 | - | 126 | 848 | - | 3 | 851 |
| Housing Authority of the City of Milwaukee | | 4,904 | 4,786 | - | 3,170 | - | 8 | 3,178 | 261 | 18 | 31 | 20 | 330 | 2,079 | - | (2) | 2,077 |
| Redevelopment Authority of the City of Milwaukee | | 521 | 398 | - | 263 | - | 2 | 265 | 22 | 1 | 3 | 14 | 40 | 173 | - | (3) | 170 |
| City of Milwaukee - Policemen | | 176,752 | 177,629 | - | 117,658 | | - | 117,658 | 9,700 | 657 | 1,136 | 214 | 11,707 | 77,155 | | (78) | 77,077 |
| City of Milwaukee - Firemen | | 80,650 | 81,079 | - | 53,705 | - | 65 | 53,770 | 4,427 | 300 | 519 | 68 | 5,314 | 35,218 | - | 10 | 35,228 |
| Total for All Entities | \$ | 420,557 | \$ 426,094 | \$ - | \$ 282,236 | \$ - | \$ 391 | \$ 282,627 | \$ 23,268 | \$ 1,575 | \$ 2,726 | \$ 391 | \$ 27,960 | \$ 185,078 | \$- | \$ - | \$ 185,078 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the Schedules of Employer Allocations and Pension Amounts by Employer.

Plan Description — The following brief description of the more common provisions of the Employes' Retirement System of the City of Milwaukee (the "Retirement System" or "ERS") is provided for financial statement purposes only. The provisions described reflect changes to the Retirement System enacted in 2000 as part of what is known as the Global Pension Settlement ("GPS"). GPS increased benefits to all members who consented to the settlement in exchange for allowing the City to make certain changes in plan administration, including allowing the use of Retirement System assets to pay for all costs to administer the Retirement System.

Plan Administration — The Retirement System was established pursuant to the Retirement Act (Chapter 396 of the Laws of Wisconsin of 1937) to provide the payment of retirement and other benefits to employees of the City of Milwaukee (the "City"). Chapter 441 of the Laws of Wisconsin of 1947 made the benefits contractual and vested. The Retirement System is a costsharing, multiple-employer plan, which also provides benefits to employees of the Milwaukee Metropolitan Sewerage District, Wisconsin Center District, Veolia Water Milwaukee LLC, Milwaukee Housing and Redevelopment Authorities, non-certified staff of Milwaukee Public Schools and some employees of the Milwaukee Area Technical College (the "Agencies"). City employees comprise approximately 54.5% of the active participants in the Retirement System.

Measurement Focus and Basis of Accounting — The ERS is accounted for using the flow of economic resources measurement focus and the accrual basis of accounting. Under the accrual basis of accounting, contributions are recognized in the accounting period in which the underlying earnings on which the contributions are based are paid and the employer has made a formal commitment to provide the contributions. Benefits and refunds are recognized when due and payable in accordance with the terms of the ERS. Investment transactions and the related gains and losses are recorded on a trade date basis. Dividend and interest income are accrued as earned. Investments are reported at fair value.

Long-term Expected Rate of Return —

The long-term expected rate of return on the pension plan investments was determined based on the results of an experience review performed by Buck Consultants. The results of the experience review were presented to the Board by Buck Consultants at the Board's December, 2012 Meeting and adopted at the same meeting. The rate of return assumption was based on the Retirement System's target asset allocation. In the experience review, Buck Consultants developed best estimate ranges of expected future real rates of return (net of inflation) for the portfolio, based on the expected returns of each major asset class and their weights in the portfolio. Buck used an econometric model that forecasts a variety of economic environments and then calculates asset class returns based on functional relationships between the economic variables and the asset classes. Expected investment expenses were subtracted and expected inflation was added to arrive at the long term expected for the portfolio such that there was a better than 50% likelihood of the emerging returns exceeding the expected return.

Best estimates of arithmetic real rates of return (net of inflation) for each major asset class included in the Retirement System's target asset allocation as of December 31, 2016, are listed in the table below:

| | | | Long-term Expected |
|-----------------|---------|---------|----------------------|
| Asset Class | Policy | Actual | Real Rate of Return* |
| Public Equity | 55.00% | 55.72% | 8.32% |
| Fixed Income | 21.00% | 20.50% | 1.87% |
| Cash | 1.00% | 0.62% | 0.92% |
| Real Estate | 7.00% | 7.95% | 6.82% |
| Real Assets | 3.00% | 2.20% | 5.63% |
| Private Equity | 5.00% | 4.96% | 12.52% |
| Absolute Return | 8.00% | 8.05% | 4.67% |
| | 100.00% | 100.00% | - |
| | | | |

* Rates provided by Conduent HR Consulting, LLC.

Rate of Return — For the year ended December 31, 2016, the annual money-weighted rate of return, net of investment expense is shown below. The money-weighted rate of return expresses investment performance, net of investment expense, adjusted for the changing amounts actually invested.

| | 2016 |
|---------------------------------------|-------|
| Annual money-weighted rate of return, | |
| net of investment expense | 8.77% |

Actuarial Assumptions — The last actuarial valuation was performed as of January 1, 2016, and the amounts were used to roll-forward the total pension liability to the plan's year-end December 31, 2016, and was determined using the following actuarial assumptions, applied to all prior periods included in the measurement:

| Valuation Date Actuarial Cost Method Amortization Method | January 1, 2016 Entry Age Normal – Level Percentage of Pay For pension expense; the difference between expected and actual liability experience and changes of assumptions are amortized over the average of the expected remaining service lives of all members. The differences between projected and actual earnings are amortized over a closed period of five years. |
|--|--|
| Asset Valuation Method Actuarial Assumptions: | Market Value |
| Investment Rate of Return | 8.25% for calendar years through 2017, and 8.50% beginning with calendar year 2018 |
| Projected Salary Increases | General City 3.0% - 7.5% Police & Fire 3.0% - 14.4% |
| Inflation Assumption | 3.00% |
| Cost of Living Adjustments | Vary by Employe Group as explained in summary of plan provisions |
| Mortality Table | For regular retirees and for survivors, the RP-2000 Combined Mortality Table projected nine years using Scale AA. Future generational rates are projected from 2009 based on Scale AA. For duty and ordinary disability retirees, use the RP-2000 Disability Mortality Table. For death in active service, the rates are similar to those used for regular retirees and survivors with a 6- year setback. |
| Experience Study | The actuarial assumptions used in December 31, 2016 valuation were based on the results of an actuarial experience study for the period January 1, 2007-December 31, 2011. |

Net Pension Liability — The components of the pension liability of the ERS as December 31, 2016, were as follows:

| Total pension liability Plan fiduciary net position | \$ 5,312,763 (4,886,669) |
|--|--------------------------------|
| Net pension liability | \$ 426,094 |
| | |
| Plan fiduciary net position as a | |
| percentage of total pension liability | 91.98% |
| Covered employee payroll | \$ 583,950 |
| Net pension liability as a | |
| percentage of covered employee payroll | 72.97% |

Discount Rate — The discount rate used to measure the total pension liability was 8.50 percent. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed that contributions from plan members will be made at the current contribution rate and that contributions from ERS agencies will be made at contractually required rates, actuarially determined. Based on those assumptions, the ERS' fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments of current plan members. The cross over analysis produces a single rate of 8.50 percent, which reflects the long-term expected rate of return on ERS investments. Therefore, the discount rate was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability.

Sensitivity of the net pension liability (asset) to changes in the discount rate — The following presents the net pension liability (asset) of the ERS calculated using the discount rate of 8.50 percent, as well as what the ERS' net pension liability (asset) would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage-point lower (7.50 percent) or 1-percentage-point higher (9.50 percent) than the current rate:

| | 1 | % Decrease | Cu | rrent Discount | | 1% Increase | | |
|------------------------------------|----|------------|----|----------------|----|-------------|--|--|
| | | (7.50%) | | (8.50%) | - | (9.50%) | | |
| ERS' net pension liability (asset) | \$ | 1,004,645 | \$ | 426,094 | \$ | (61,855) | | |

Schedule of Employer Allocations — The Employer Allocation Percentage is based on the employers required contribution compared to the required contribution for all employers. The Employer Allocation Percentage is rounded to seven decimal places.

Schedule of Pension Amounts — The employer's proportionate share of the Collective Net Pension Liability, Deferred Outflows of Resources, Deferred Inflows of Resources, and Total Employer Pension Expense (Income) is based on the Employer Allocation Percentage.

The Total Pension Liability is measured as of December 31, 2016 based on a January 1, 2016 actuarial valuation rolled forward to December 31, 2016 using standard roll-forward techniques as shown below:

| | | 2016 |
|---|----|-----------|
| Total pension liability | | |
| Service cost | \$ | 70,377 |
| Interest | | 430,745 |
| Differences between expected and actual experience | | (9,921) |
| Changes of assumptions | | - |
| Benefit payments including refunds of member contributions | | (351,303) |
| Net change in total pension liability | | 139,898 |
| | | |
| Total pension liability - beginning | | 5,172,865 |
| Total pension liability - ending | \$ | 5,312,763 |
| Plan fiduciary net position | | |
| Contributions - employer | \$ | 74,095 |
| Contributions - member | Ψ | 35,918 |
| Net investment income | | 383,747 |
| Benefit payments, including refunds of member contributions | | (351,303) |
| Administrative expense | | (8,096) |
| Net change in plan fiduciary net pension | | 134,361 |
| Plan fiduciary net position - beginning | | 4,752,308 |
| Plan fiduciary net position - ending | \$ | 4,886,669 |
| | | |
| Net pension liability - ending | \$ | 426,094 |

The Fiduciary Net Position is 92.0% of the Total Pension Liability, so the ERS has a Net Pension Liability.

The Collective Deferred Inflows and Outflows of Resources due to liabilities are amortized over the Average Expected Service Lives of all Employees of 4.16 years. The Collective Deferred Inflows and Outflows of Resources due to the net difference between projected and actual earnings on pension plan investments is amortized over five years.

Collective Deferred Inflows and Outflows of Resources to be recognized in the Current Pension Expense are as follows:

| | Outflows of Resources | Inflows of Resources | Net Outflows of Resources |
|--|--------------------------|-------------------------|------------------------------|
| Differences between expected and actual experience | \$ - | \$ (2,385) \$ | (2,385) |
| Net Difference between projected and | | | |
| actual earnings on pension plan investment | 104,333 | - | 104,333 |
| Total | \$ 104,333 | \$ (2,385) \$ | 101,948 |

Collective Deferred Inflows and Outflows of Resources to be recognized in the Future Pension Expense are as follows:

| | | Outflows of | Inflows of | Net Outflows |
|--|-----|-------------|-------------------|--------------|
| | | Resources | Resources | of Resources |
| Differences between expected and actual experience | \$ | - | \$ (25,994) \$ | (25,994) |
| Net Difference between projected and | | | | |
| actual earnings on pension plan investment | | 282,236 | (1,575) | 280,661 |
| Total | \$_ | 282,236 | \$ (27,569) \$ | 254,667 |

Employes' Retirement System of the City of Milwaukee

Notes to the Schedules of Employer Allocations and Pension Amounts by Employer As of and for the year ended December 31, 2016

(Dollar Amounts in Thousands)

Deferred Outflows and Inflows of Resources to be recognized in the Future Pension Expense are as follows:

| Year Ending | Net Deferred Outflows |
|-------------|-----------------------|
| December 31 | of Resources |
| 2017 | \$ 93,556 |
| 2018 | 93,556 |
| 2019 | 68,329 |
| 2020 | (774) |
| Total | \$ 254,667 |
| | |

Employers may also need to recognize a Deferred Outflow or Inflow of Resources related to a change in their proportionate share of the Net Pension Liability and for differences between employer contributions and proportionate share of contributions.

The Collective Pension Expense is determined as follows:

| Service cost | \$ 70,377 |
|--|---------------|
| Interest cost on total pension liability | 430,745 |
| Projected earnings on plan investments | (381,778) |
| Contributions - Member | (35,918) |
| Administrative expense | 8,096 |
| Recognition of net deferred outflows (inflows) | |
| Changes in assumptions | - |
| Differences between expected and actual liability experience | (2,385) |
| Difference between projected and actual earnings | (394) |
| Recognition of prior years' | |
| Deferred outflows | 104,727 |
| Deferred inflows | (8,392) |
| Total Pension Expense | \$ 185,078 |

Additional Financial Information for the ERS - For additional information regarding ERS's financial statements and audit report, please visit the ERS web site at http://www.cmers.com/About-Us/Reports.htm